arXiv:1505.04784v1 [math.OA] 17 May 2015

# $C^*$-index of observable algebra in the field algebra determined by a normal group [*]

Xin Qiaoling, Jiang Lining [†]

*School of Mathematics and Statistics, Beijing Institute of Technology, Beijing 100081, P. R. China*

**Abstract**: Let $G$ be a finite group and $H$ a normal subgroup. $D(H;G)$ is the crossed product of $C(H)$ and $\mathbb{C}G$ which is only a subalgebra of $D(G)$, the quantum double of $G$. One can construct a $C^*$-subalgebra $\mathcal{F}_{_H}$ of the field algebra $\mathcal{F}$ of $G$-spin models, such that $\mathcal{F}_{_H}$ is a $D(H;G)$-module algebra. The concrete construction of $D(H;G)$-invariant subalgebra $\mathcal{A}_{_{(H,G)}}$ of $\mathcal{F}_{_H}$ is given. By constructing the quasi-basis of conditional expectation $z_{_H}$ of $\mathcal{F}_{_H}$ onto $\mathcal{A}_{_{(H,G)}}$, the $C^*$-index of $z_{_H}$ is given.

**Keywords**: quantum double, $C^*$-index, quasi-basis, conditional expectation
Mathematics Subject Classification (2010): 46L05, 16S35

## 1 Introduction

Assume that $G$ is a finite group with a unit $e$. The $G$-valued spin configuration on the two-dimensional square lattices is the map $\sigma : \mathbb{Z}^2 \to G$ with Euclidean action functional:

$$S(\sigma) = \sum_{(x,y)} f(\sigma_x^{-1}\sigma_y),$$

in which the summation runs over the nearest neighbour pairs in $\mathbb{Z}^2$ and $f : G \to \mathbb{R}$ is a function of the positive type. This kind of classical statistical systems or the corresponding quantum field theories are called $G$-spin models, see [1, 2, 3]. Such models provide the simplest examples of lattice field theories exhibiting quantum symmetry. In general, $G$-spin models with an Abelian group $G$ are known to have a symmetry group $G \times \widehat{G}$, where $\widehat{G}$ is the group of characters of $G$, namely the Pontryagin dual of $G$. If $G$ is non-Abelian, the Pontryagin dual loses its meaning, and the models have a symmetry of a quantum double $D(G)$ ([4, 5]). Here $D(G)$ is defined as the crossed product of $C(G)$, the algebra of complex functions on $G$, and group algebra $\mathbb{C}G$ with respect to the adjoint action of the latter on the former. Then $D(G)$ is a Hopf *-algebra of finite dimension ([6, 7, 8]). Also as in

---

[*] This work is supported by National Science Foundation of China (10971011,11371222)

[†] E-mail address: jianglining@bit.edu.cn

the traditional quantum field theory, one can define a field algebra $\mathcal{F}$ associated with this model ([9]). There is a natural action $\gamma$ of $D(G)$ on $\mathcal{F}$ such that $\mathcal{F}$ is a $D(G)$-module algebra with respect to the map $\gamma$. Namely, there is a bilinear map $\gamma : D(G) \times \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{F}$ satisfying: $\forall\ a, b \in D(G), F_1, F_2, F \in \mathcal{F}$,

$$\begin{aligned}(ab)(F) &= a(b(F)),\\ a(F_1F_2) &= \sum_{(a)} a_{(1)}(F_1)a_{(2)}(F_2),\\ a(F^*) &= (S(a^*)(F))^*.\end{aligned}$$

Here and from now on, by $a(F)$ we always denote $\gamma(a \times F)$ in $\mathcal{F}$. Under the action of $\gamma$ on $\mathcal{F}$, the observable algebra $\mathcal{A}_{(G,G)}$ as the $D(G)$-invariant subalgebra of $\mathcal{F}$ is obtained. And there exists a duality between $\mathcal{A}_G$ and $D(G)$, i.e., there is a unique $C^*$-representation of $D(G)$ such that $D(G)$ and $\mathcal{A}_{(G,G)}$ are commutants with each other.

In [10], we consider a more general situation. Let $H$ be a normal subgroup of $G$, then $D(H;G)$ is defined as the crossed product of $C(H)$ and $\mathbb{C}G$ with respect to the adjoint action of the latter on the former. One can construct a $C^*$-subalgebra $\mathcal{F}_H$ of the field algebra $\mathcal{F}$ of $G$-spin models, called the field algebra of $G$-spin models determined by $H$, such that $\mathcal{F}_H$ is a $D(H;G)$-module algebra even though $D(H;G)$ is not a Hopf subalgebra of $D(G)$. Then the observable algebra $\mathcal{A}_{(H,G)}$, which is the set of fixed points of $\mathcal{F}_H$ under the action of $D(H;G)$ is given. Also there exists a duality between $D(H;G)$ and $\mathcal{A}_{(H,G)}$.

In this paper, we continue to discuss this model. In Section 2, we find algebraic generators for $\mathcal{A}_{(H,G)}$ by means of discussing the local net structure to $\mathcal{A}_{(H,G)}$. In Section 3, we construct a quasi-basis for the conditional expectation $z_H : \mathcal{F}_H \to \mathcal{A}_{(H,G)}$, and then obtain the corresponding $C^*$-index Index $z_H = |G||H|I$, where $|G|$ and $|H|$ denote the order of the group $G$ and $H$, respectively.

Throughout this paper, all algebras are complex unital associative algebras. For more details on Hopf algebras one can refer to the books of Sweedler [11] and Abe [12]. We shall adopt its notation, such as $S$, $\triangle$, $\varepsilon$ for the antipode, the comultiplication and the counit, respectively. Also we shall use the summation convention, which is standard in Hopf algebra theory:

$$\begin{aligned}\triangle(a) &= \sum_{(a)} a_{(1)} \otimes a_{(2)},\\ \triangle^{(2)}(a) &= \triangle \circ (\mathrm{id} \otimes \triangle)(a) = \sum_{(a)} a_{(1)} \otimes a_{(2)} \otimes a_{(3)},\\ \triangle^{(n)}(a) &= \triangle^{(n-1)} \circ (\mathrm{id} \otimes \triangle)(a) = \sum_{(a)} a_{(1)} \otimes a_{(2)} \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{(n+1)},\end{aligned}$$

where the second one holds since $\triangle \circ (\mathrm{id} \otimes \triangle) = \triangle \circ (\triangle \otimes \mathrm{id})$, and so on.

## 2 The structure of the observable algebra in $\mathcal{F}_H$

Suppose that $H$ is a normal subgroup of $G$. In the previous paper [10], we defined a Hopf *-algebra $D(H;G)$ and then constructed a $C^*$-subalgebra $\mathcal{F}_H$ in the field algebra $\mathcal{F}$ of $G$-spin models. Under the action $\gamma$ of $D(H;G)$ on it, $\mathcal{F}_{_H}$ becomes a $D(H;G)$-module algebra and the observable algebra $A_{_{(H;G)}}$ as the $D(H;G)$-invariant subalgebra of $\mathcal{F}_{_H}$ is given. This section will discuss a local net structure to $A_{_{(H;G)}}$, which can be achieved by finding algebraic generators for $A_{_{(H;G)}}$ with local commutation relations. Let us begin with the following definition.

**Definition 2.1.** [10] $D(H;G)$ is the crossed product of $C(H)$ and group algebra $\mathbb{C}G$, where $C(H)$ denotes the set of complex functions on $H$, with respect to the adjoint action of the latter on the former.

Using the linear basis elements $(h,g)$ of $D(H;G)$, the multiplication can be written as:

$$(h_1,g_1)(h_2,g_2)=\delta_{h_1g_1,g_1h_2}(h_1,g_1g_2).$$

Clearly, $\sum\limits_{h\in H}(h,e)$ is the unit of $D(H;G)$. Also, the structure maps are defined as

$$\begin{array}{rcll} (h,g)^* & = & (g^{-1}hg,g^{-1}), & \text{(*-operation)} \\ \triangle(h,g) & = & \sum\limits_{t\in H}(t,g)\otimes(t^{-1}h,g), & \text{(coproduct)} \\ \varepsilon(h,g) & = & \delta_{h,e}, & \text{(counit)} \\ S(h,g) & = & (g^{-1}h^{-1}g,g^{-1}), & \text{(antipode)} \end{array}$$

where $h\in H, g\in G$ and $\delta_{g,h}=\begin{cases}1, & \text{if } \ g=h\\ 0, & \text{if } \ g\neq h\end{cases}$. One can prove $D(H;G)$ is a Hopf *-algebra with a unique element

$$z_{_H}=\frac{1}{|G|}\sum_{g\in G}(e,g),$$

called a cointegral, satisfying

$$az_{_H}=z_{_H}a=\varepsilon(a)z_{_H},\quad \forall a\in D(H;G),$$

and $\varepsilon(z_{_H})=1$. As a result, $D(H;G)$ is a semisimple *-algebra of finite dimension. Consequently it can be a $C^*$-algebra in a natural way ([10]).

**Remark 2.1.** (1) If $H$ is a subgroup of $G$, not a normal subgroup. One can prove there is not the adjoint action of $\mathbb{C}H$ on $C(G)$, and then $D(H;G)$ can not be defined.

(2) Different from the case of $D(G;H)$, which is the crossed product of $C(G)$ and $\mathbb{C}H$ with respect to the adjoint action of the latter on the former ([9, 13]), $D(H;G)$ is not a Hopf subalgebra of $D(G)$, even though it is a subalgebra of $D(G)$.

(3) Also, the relation $S^2=\mathrm{id}$ holds in $D(H;G)$, which implies that $\forall a\in D(H;G)$,

$$\sum_{(a)}S(a_{(2)})a_{(1)}=\sum_{(a)}a_{(2)}S(a_{(1)})=\varepsilon(a)1_{D(H;G)}.$$

As in the traditional case, one can define the local quantum field algebra associated with the model.

**Definition 2.2.** [10] $\mathcal{F}_{_{H,loc}}$ is an associative algebra with a unit $I$ generated by $\{\delta_g(x), \rho_h(l) : g \in G, h \in H; x \in \mathbb{Z}, l \in \mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2}\}$ subject to

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
\sum\limits_{g\in G} \delta_g(x) & = & I = \rho_e(l), \\
\delta_{g_1}(x)\delta_{g_2}(x) & = & \delta_{g_1,g_2}\delta_{g_1}(x), \\
\rho_{h_1}(l)\rho_{h_2}(l) & = & \rho_{h_1h_2}(l), \\
\delta_{g_1}(x)\delta_{g_2}(x') & = & \delta_{g_2}(x')\delta_{g_1}(x), \\
\rho_h(l)\delta_g(x) & = & \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \delta_{hg}(x)\rho_h(l), & l < x, \\ \delta_g(x)\rho_h(l), & l > x, \end{array} \right. \\
\rho_{h_1}(l)\rho_{h_2}(l') & = & \rho_{h_2}(l')\rho_{{h_2}^{-1}h_1h_2}(l), \quad l > l'.
\end{array}$$

for $x, x' \in \mathbb{Z}$; $l, l' \in \mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2}$ and $h_1, h_2 \in H, g_1, g_2 \in G$. In particular, if $H = G$, by $\mathcal{F}_{_{loc}}$ we denote $\mathcal{F}_{_{H,loc}}$.

The *-operation is defined on the generators as $\delta_g^*(x) = \delta_g(x)$, $\rho_h^*(l) = \rho_{h^{-1}}(l)$ and is extended antilinearly and antimultiplicatively to $\mathcal{F}_{_{H,loc}}$. In this way, $\mathcal{F}_{_{H,loc}}$ becomes a unital *-algebra.

For any finite subset $\Lambda \subseteq \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$, let $\mathcal{F}_{_H}(\Lambda)$ be the subalgebra of $\mathcal{F}_{_{H,loc}}$ generated by

$$\{\delta_g(x), \rho_h(l) : g \in G, h \in H, x, l \in \Lambda\}.$$

In particular, we consider an increasing sequence of intervals $\Lambda_n, n \in \mathbb{N}$, where

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
\Lambda_{2n} & = & \{s \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z} : -n + \frac{1}{2} \leq s \leq n\} \\
\Lambda_{2n+1} & = & \{s \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z} : -n - \frac{1}{2} \leq s \leq n\}
\end{array}$$

In [3], the authors have shown that $\mathcal{F}(\Lambda_n), n \in \mathbb{N}$ are full matrix algebras, they can be identified with $M_{|G|^n}$. Moreover, under the induced norm, $\mathcal{F}(\Lambda_n)$ are finite dimensional $C^*$-algebras. Hence $\mathcal{F}_{_H}(\Lambda_n), n \in \mathbb{N}$ are subalgebras of full matrix algebras, and then they are finite dimensional $C^*$-algebras. The natural embeddings $\iota_n : \mathcal{F}_{_H}(\Lambda_n) \to \mathcal{F}_{_H}(\Lambda_{n+1})$, that identify the $\delta$ and $\rho$ generators, are norm preserving. Using the $C^*$-inductive limit ([14]), a $C^*$-algebra $\mathcal{F}_{_H}$ can be given by

$$\mathcal{F}_{_H} = \overline{\bigcup_n \mathcal{F}_{_H}(\Lambda_n)},$$

called the field algebra of $G$-spin models determined by a normal subgroup $H$.

There is an action $\gamma$ of $D(H;G)$ on $\mathcal{F}_{_H}$ in the following. For $x \in \mathbb{Z}$; $l \in \mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2}$ and $h \in H, g \in G$, set

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
(h,g)\delta_f(x) & = & \delta_{h,e}\delta_{gf}(x), \quad \forall f \in G, \\
(h,g)\rho_t(l) & = & \delta_{h,gtg^{-1}}\rho_h(l), \quad \forall t \in H.
\end{array}$$

Then the $\gamma$ can be extended continuously to an action of $D(H;G)$ on $\mathcal{F}_H$, such that $\mathcal{F}_H$ is a $D(H;G)$-module algebra with respect to the $\gamma$. Namely, the $\gamma$ satisfies the following relations:

$$\begin{aligned}(ab)(F) &= a(b(F)),\\ a(F_1F_2) &= \sum_{(a)} a_{(1)}(F_1)a_{(2)}(F_2),\\ a(F^*) &= (S(a^*)(F))^*\end{aligned}$$

for $a, b \in D(H;G),\ F_1, F_2, F \in \mathcal{F}_H$.

Set

$$\mathcal{A}_{(H,G)} = \{F \in \mathcal{F}_H : z_H(F) = F\}.$$

Then $\mathcal{A}_{(H,G)}$ is a subalgebra of $\mathcal{F}_H$.

**Lemma 2.1.** [10] $z_H : \mathcal{F}_H \to \mathcal{A}_{(H,G)}$ satisfies the following conditions:

(1) $z_H(I) = I$ where $I$ is the unit of $\mathcal{F}_H$;

(2) (bimodular property) $\forall\ F_1, F_2 \in \mathcal{A}_{(H,G)},\ F \in \mathcal{F}_H$,

$$z_H(F_1FF_2) = F_1z_H(F)F_2;$$

(3) $z_H$ is positive.

In the following a linear map $\Gamma$ from a unital $C^*$-algebra $B$ onto its unital $C^*$-subalgebra $A$ with properties (1)-(3) in Lemma 2.1 is called a conditional expectation. If $\Gamma$ is a conditional expectation from $B$ onto $A$, then $\Gamma$ is a projection of norm one ([14]). The conditional expectation $z_H : \mathcal{F}_H \to \mathcal{A}_{(H,G)}$ will be addressed in the next section.

From the above lemma, one can prove $\mathcal{A}_{(H,G)}$ is the $C^*$-subalgebra of $\mathcal{F}_H$, called an observable algebra related to $H$ in the field algebra $\mathcal{F}$ of $G$-spin models. Moreover, if $H_1 \subsetneq H_2$ with $H_i \lhd G$ for $i = 1, 2$, then $\mathcal{A}_{(H_1,G)} \subsetneq \mathcal{A}_{(H_2,G)}$, since $\triangle_{H_1}^{(n)}(z_{H_1}) \leq \triangle_{H_2}^{(n)}(z_{H_2})$ as projections on ${\mathcal{F}_{H_1}}^{\otimes n+1}$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

In this section, we will give the concrete construction of $\mathcal{A}_{(H,G)}$. In order to do this, for $g \in G$, $x \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $l \in \mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2}$, set

$$\begin{aligned}v_g(x) &= \sum_{h\in G} \varrho_{hg^{-1}h^{-1}}(x - \tfrac{1}{2})\delta_h(x)\varrho_{hgh^{-1}}(x + \tfrac{1}{2}),\\ w_g(l) &= \sum_{h\in G} \delta_h(l - \tfrac{1}{2})\delta_{hg}(l + \tfrac{1}{2}).\end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 2.2.** Let $\Lambda_{n-\frac{1}{2},m} \subseteq \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$ be a finite interval for $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}$. The $D(H;G)$-invariant subalgebra of $\mathcal{F}_H(\Lambda_{n-\frac{1}{2},m})$ is generated by

$$\left\{\omega_g(x), v_h(l) : g \in G, h \in H, x, l \in \Lambda_{n,m-\frac{1}{2}}\right\}.$$

That is

$$z_H\left(\mathcal{F}_H(\Lambda_{n-\frac{1}{2},m})\right) = \left\{\omega_g(x), v_h(l) : g \in G, h \in H, x, l \in \Lambda_{n,m-\frac{1}{2}}\right\}.$$

*Proof.* We know that $\mathcal{F}_{H}(\Lambda_{\frac{1}{2},m})$ is a $C^*$-subalgebra of $\mathcal{F}_{H,loc}$, generated by

$$\left\{\delta_g(x), \varrho_h(l) : g \in G, h \in H, x, l \in \Lambda_{\frac{1}{2},m}\right\}.$$

Notice that for $h_i \in H, \quad i = 1, 2, \cdots m$ with $h_1 h_2 \cdots h_m = e$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& z_{H}\left(\delta_{g_1}(1)\delta_{g_2}(2)\cdots\delta_{g_m}(m)\varrho_{h_1}(\tfrac{1}{2})\varrho_{h_2}(\tfrac{3}{2})\cdots\varrho_{h_m}(m-\tfrac{1}{2})\right) \\
= \ & \tfrac{1}{|G|}\sum_{f\in G}(f,e)\left(\delta_{g_1}(1)\delta_{g_2}(2)\cdots\delta_{g_m}(m)\varrho_{h_1}(\tfrac{1}{2})\varrho_{h_2}(\tfrac{3}{2})\cdots\varrho_{h_m}(m-\tfrac{1}{2})\right) \\
= \ & \tfrac{1}{|G|}\sum_{f\in G}\sum_{t_i\in H}\Big((t_1,f)\delta_{g_1}(1)(t_1t_2^{-1},f)\delta_{g_2}(2)\cdots(t_{m-1}t_m^{-1},f)\delta_{g_m}(m) \\
& (t_mt_{m+1}^{-1},f)\varrho_{h_1}(\tfrac{1}{2})(t_{m+1}t_{m+2}^{-1},f)\varrho_{h_2}(\tfrac{3}{2})\cdots(t_{2m-1}^{-1},f)\varrho_{h_m}(m-\tfrac{1}{2})\Big) \\
= \ & \tfrac{1}{|G|}\sum_{f\in G}\Big(\delta_{fg_1}(1)\delta_{fg_2}(2)\cdots\delta_{fg_m}(m)\varrho_{fh_1f^{-1}}(\tfrac{1}{2})\varrho_{fh_2f^{-1}}(\tfrac{3}{2})\cdots\varrho_{fh_{m-1}^{-1}h_{m-2}^{-1}\cdots h_1^{-1}f^{-1}}(m-\tfrac{1}{2})\Big) \\
= \ & \tfrac{1}{|G|}\sum_{s\in G}\Big(\delta_s(1)\delta_{sg_1^{-1}g_2}(2)\cdots\delta_{sg_1^{-1}g_m}(m)\varrho_{sg_1^{-1}h_1g_1s^{-1}}(\tfrac{1}{2})\varrho_{sg_1^{-1}h_2g_1s^{-1}}(\tfrac{3}{2})\cdots \\
& \varrho_{sg_1^{-1}h_{m-1}^{-1}h_{m-2}^{-1}\cdots h_1^{-1}g_1s^{-1}}(m-\tfrac{1}{2})\Big),
\end{aligned}$$

which together with the following equation

$$\begin{aligned}
& w_{x_1}(\tfrac{3}{2})w_{x_2}(\tfrac{5}{2})\cdots w_{x_{m-1}}(m-\tfrac{1}{2})v_{y_1}(1)v_{y_2}(2)\cdots v_{y_{m-1}}(m-1) \\
= \ & \sum_{s_i\in G}\sum_{t_i\in G}\delta_{s_1}(1)\delta_{s_1x_1}(2)\delta_{s_2}(2)\delta_{s_2x_2}(3)\cdots\delta_{s_{m-1}}(m-1)\delta_{s_{m-1}x_{m-1}}(m)\rho_{t_1y_1^{-1}t_1^{-1}}(\tfrac{1}{2})\delta_{t_1}(1)\rho_{t_1y_1t_1^{-1}}(\tfrac{3}{2}) \\
& \rho_{t_2y_2^{-1}t_2^{-1}}(\tfrac{3}{2})\delta_{t_2}(2)\rho_{t_2y_2t_2^{-1}}(\tfrac{5}{2})\cdots\rho_{t_{m-1}y_{m-1}^{-1}t_{m-1}^{-1}}(m-\tfrac{3}{2})\delta_{t_{m-1}}(m-1)\rho_{t_{m-1}y_{m-1}t_{m-1}^{-1}}(m-\tfrac{1}{2}) \\
= \ & \sum_{s_i\in G}\sum_{t_i\in G}\delta_{s_1}(1)\delta_{t_1y_1^{-1}}(1)\delta_{s_1x_1}(2)\delta_{s_2}(2)\delta_{t_2y_2^{-1}}(1)\cdots\delta_{s_{m-2}x_{m-2}}(m-1)\delta_{s_{m-1}}(m-1) \\
& \delta_{t_{m-1}y_{m-1}^{-1}}(m-1)\delta_{s_{m-1}x_{m-1}}(m)\rho_{t_1y_1^{-1}t_1^{-1}}(\tfrac{1}{2})\rho_{t_1y_1t_1^{-1}t_2y_2^{-1}t_2^{-1}}(\tfrac{3}{2})\rho_{t_2y_2t_2^{-1}t_3y_3^{-1}t_3^{-1}}(\tfrac{5}{2})\cdots \\
& \rho_{t_{m-2}y_{m-2}^{-1}t_{m-2}^{-1}y_{m-1}^{-1}t_{m-1}^{-1}}(m-\tfrac{3}{2})\rho_{t_{m-1}y_{m-1}t_{m-1}^{-1}}(m-\tfrac{1}{2}) \\
= \ & \sum_{s\in G}\delta_s(1)\delta_{sx_1}(2)\delta_{sx_1x_2}(3)\cdots\delta_{sx_1x_2\cdots x_{m-1}}(m)\rho_{sy_1^{-1}s^{-1}}(\tfrac{1}{2})\rho_{sy_1x_1y_2^{-1}x_1^{-1}s^{-1}}(\tfrac{3}{2})\rho_{sx_1y_2x_2y_3^{-1}x_2^{-1}x_1^{-1}s^{-1}}(\tfrac{5}{2}) \\
& \cdots\rho_{sx_1y_2x_2\cdots x_{m-3}y_{m-2}x_{m-2}y_{m-1}^{-1}x_{m-2}^{-1}\cdots x_1^{-1}s^{-1}}(m-\tfrac{3}{2})\rho_{sx_1y_2x_2\cdots x_{m-3}x_{m-2}y_{m-1}x_{m-2}^{-1}\cdots x_1^{-1}s^{-1}}(m-\tfrac{1}{2})
\end{aligned}$$

yields that

$$\begin{aligned}
& z_{H}\left(\delta_{g_1}(1)\delta_{g_2}(2)\cdots\delta_{g_m}(m)\varrho_{h_1}(\tfrac{1}{2})\varrho_{h_2}(\tfrac{3}{2})\cdots\varrho_{h_m}(m-\tfrac{1}{2})\right) \\
= \ & \tfrac{1}{|G|}w_{g_1{}^{-1}g_2}(\tfrac{3}{2})w_{g_2{}^{-1}g_3}(\tfrac{5}{2})\cdots w_{g_{m-1}^{-1}g_m}(m-\tfrac{1}{2})v_{g_1^{-1}h_1^{-1}g_1}(1)v_{g_2^{-1}h_2^{-1}h_1^{-1}g_2}(2) \\
& v_{g_3^{-1}h_3^{-1}h_2^{-1}h_1^{-1}g_3}(3)\cdots v_{g_{m-1}^{-1}h_{m-1}^{-1}h_{m-1}^{-1}\cdots h_1^{-1}g_{m-1}}(m-1).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, $z_{H}\left(\mathcal{F}_{H}(\Lambda_{\frac{1}{2},m})\right)$ is a $C^*$-subalgebra of $\mathcal{A}_{(H,G)}$, generated by

$$\left\{\omega_g(x), v_h(l) : g \in G, h \in H, x, l \in \Lambda_{1,m-\frac{1}{2}}\right\}.$$

By induction, one can show $z_{H}\left(\mathcal{F}_{H}(\Lambda_{n-\frac{1}{2},m})\right)$ is generated by

$$\left\{\omega_g(x), v_h(l) : g \in G, h \in H, x, l \in \Lambda_{n,m-\frac{1}{2}}\right\}.$$

□

**Remark 2.2.** For $\Lambda \subseteq \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$, let

$$\mathcal{A}_H(\Lambda) = \langle v_h(x), w_g(l) : h \in H, g \in G, x, l \in \Lambda \rangle.$$

Lemma 2.2 together with Lemma 2.1 implies that $z_H : \mathcal{F}_H(\Lambda_{n-\frac{1}{2},m}) \to \mathcal{A}_H(\Lambda_{n,m-\frac{1}{2}})$ is a conditional expectation.

**Theorem 2.1.** The observable algebra $\mathcal{A}_{(H,G)}$ is the $C^*$-algebra given by the $C^*$-inductive limit

$$\mathcal{A}_{(H,G)} = \overline{\bigcup_{\Lambda} \mathcal{A}_H(\Lambda)}.$$

*Proof.* If $A \in \mathcal{A}_{(H,G)}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, then from Lemma 2.2 and the continuity of the projection $z_H$, we know $A = z_H(A)$ and there is $B \in \mathcal{F}_H(\Lambda_{n-\frac{1}{2},m})$ with $\|A - B\| < \varepsilon$, which implies that

$$\|A - z_H(B)\| = \|z_H(A - B)\| \leq \|A - B\| < \varepsilon,$$

and $z_H(B) \in \mathcal{A}_H(\Lambda_{n,m-\frac{1}{2}})$. □

# 3 $C^*$-index

This section will give the $C^*$-index of conditional expectation $z_H : \mathcal{F}_H \to \mathcal{A}_{(H,G)}$, where $H$ is a normal subgroup of $G$ with $[G : H] = k$ and $t_1 = e, t_2, \cdots, t_k$ is a left coset representation of $H$ in $G$, namely $G = \bigcup\limits_{i=1}^{k} t_i H$ and $i \neq j$ induces that $t_i H \cap t_j H = \emptyset$, where $e$ is the unit of $G$.

**Definition 3.1.** Let $\Gamma$ be a conditional expectation from a unital $C^*$-algebra $B$ onto its unital $C^*$-subalgebra $A$. A finite family $\{(u_1, u_1^*), (u_2, u_2^*), \cdots, (u_n, u_n^*)\} \subseteq B \times B$ is called a quasi-basis for $\Gamma$ if for all $a \in B$,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} u_i \Gamma(u_i^* a) = a = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \Gamma(a u_i) u_i^*.$$

Furthermore, if there exists a quasi-basis for $\Gamma$, we call $\Gamma$ of index-finite type. In this case we define the index of $\Gamma$ by

$$\text{Index } \Gamma = \sum_{i=1}^{n} u_i u_i^*.$$

**Remark 3.1.** (1) If $\Gamma$ is a conditional expectation of index-finite type, then Index $\Gamma$ is in the center of $A$ and does not depend on the choice of quasi-basis ([15]).

(2) Let $N \subseteq M$ be factors of type $\mathrm{II}_1$ and $\Gamma : M \to N$ the canonical conditional expectation determined by the unique normalized trace on $M$, then Index $\Gamma$ is exactly Jones index $[M, N]$ based on the coupling constant ([16]). More generally, let $M$ be a ($\sigma$-finite) factor with a subfactor $N$ and $\Gamma$ a normal conditional expectation from $M$ onto $N$, then $\Gamma$ is of index-finite if and only if Index $\Gamma$ is finite in the sence of [17], and the values of Index $\Gamma$ are equal.

**Theorem 3.1.** For fixed $k \in \mathbb{Z}, x \in G, y \in H$, set

$$u_{x,y} = \sqrt{|G|}\delta_x(k)\rho_y(k+\frac{1}{2}).$$

Then $\{(u_{x,y}, u^*_{x,y}) : x \in G, y \in H\}$ is a quasi-basis of $z_{_H} : \mathcal{F}_{_H} \to \mathcal{A}_{_{(H,G)}}$.

*Proof.* Without loss of generality, one can consider the case $k = 1$.

Firstly, one can show that $\{(u_{x,y}, u^*_{x,y}) : x \in G, y \in H\}$ is a quasi-basis of $z_{_H} : \mathcal{F}_{_H}(\Lambda_{\frac{1}{2},m}) \to \mathcal{A}_{_H}(\Lambda_{1,m-\frac{1}{2}})$, for any $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $m > 1$.

Note that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{x\in G}\sum_{y\in H} u_{x,y} z_{_H}\Big[u^*_{x,y}\delta_{g_1}(1)\delta_{g_2}(2)\cdots\delta_{g_m}(m)\varrho_{h_1}(\tfrac{1}{2})\varrho_{h_2}(\tfrac{3}{2})\cdots\varrho_{h_m}(m-\tfrac{1}{2})\Big] \\
= \;& |G|\sum_{x\in G}\sum_{y\in H} u_{x,y} z_{_H}\Big[\delta_x(1)\varrho_{y^{-1}}(\tfrac{3}{2})\delta_{g_1}(1)\delta_{g_2}(2)\cdots\delta_{g_m}(m)\varrho_{h_1}(\tfrac{1}{2})\varrho_{h_2}(\tfrac{3}{2})\cdots\varrho_{h_m}(m-\tfrac{1}{2})\Big] \\
= \;& |G|\sum_{x\in G}\sum_{y\in H} u_{x,y} z_{_H}\Big[\delta_x(1)\delta_{g_1}(1)\delta_{y^{-1}g_2}(2)\delta_{y^{-1}g_3}(3)\cdots\delta_{y^{-1}g_m}(m)\varrho_{y^{-1}}(\tfrac{3}{2})\varrho_{h_1}(\tfrac{1}{2})\varrho_{h_2}(\tfrac{3}{2}) \\
& \cdots\varrho_{h_m}(m-\tfrac{1}{2})\Big] \\
= \;& |G|\sum_{x\in G}\sum_{y\in H} u_{x,y} z_{_H}\Big[\delta_x(1)\delta_{g_1}(1)\delta_{y^{-1}g_2}(2)\delta_{y^{-1}g_3}(3)\cdots\delta_{y^{-1}g_m}(m)\varrho_{h_1}(\tfrac{1}{2})\varrho_{h_1^{-1}y^{-1}h_1}(\tfrac{3}{2}) \\
& \varrho_{h_2}(\tfrac{3}{2})\cdots\varrho_{h_m}(m-\tfrac{1}{2})\Big] \\
= \;& |G|\sum_{x\in G}\sum_{y\in H} \delta_x(1)\varrho_y(\tfrac{3}{2}) z_{_H}\Big[\delta_x(1)\delta_{g_1}(1)\delta_{y^{-1}g_2}(2)\delta_{y^{-1}g_3}(3)\cdots\delta_{y^{-1}g_m}(m)\varrho_{h_1}(\tfrac{1}{2})\varrho_{h_1^{-1}y^{-1}h_1h_2}(\tfrac{3}{2}) \\
& \varrho_{h_3}(\tfrac{5}{2})\cdots\varrho_{h_m}(m-\tfrac{1}{2})\Big] \\
= \;& |G|\delta_{g_1}(1)\varrho_{h_1h_2\cdots h_m}(\tfrac{3}{2}) z_{_H}\Big[\delta_{g_1}(1)\delta_{h_m^{-1}h_{m-1}^{-1}\cdots h_1^{-1}g_2}(2)\delta_{h_m^{-1}h_{m-1}^{-1}\cdots h_1^{-1}g_3}(3)\cdots\delta_{h_m^{-1}h_{m-1}^{-1}\cdots h_1^{-1}g_m}(m) \\
& \varrho_{h_1}(\tfrac{1}{2})\varrho_{h_1^{-1}h_m^{-1}h_{m-1}^{-1}\cdots h_4^{-1}h_3^{-1}}(\tfrac{3}{2})\varrho_{h_3}(\tfrac{5}{2})\cdots\varrho_{h_m}(m-\tfrac{1}{2})\Big] \\
= \;& \delta_{g_1}(1)\varrho_{h_1h_2\cdots h_m}(\tfrac{3}{2})\Big[\sum_{s\in G}\delta_s(1)\delta_{sg_1^{-1}h_m^{-1}h_{m-1}^{-1}\cdots h_1^{-1}g_2}(2)\delta_{sg_1^{-1}h_m^{-1}h_{m-1}^{-1}\cdots h_1^{-1}g_3}(3)\cdots\delta_{sg_1^{-1}h_m^{-1}h_{m-1}^{-1}\cdots h_1^{-1}g_m}(m) \\
& \varrho_{sg_1^{-1}h_1g_1s^{-1}}(\tfrac{1}{2})\varrho_{sg_1^{-1}h_1^{-1}h_m^{-1}h_{m-1}^{-1}\cdots h_4^{-1}h_3^{-1}g_1s^{-1}}(\tfrac{3}{2})\varrho_{sg_1^{-1}h_3g_1s^{-1}}(\tfrac{5}{2})\cdots\varrho_{sg_1^{-1}h_mg_1s^{-1}}(m-\tfrac{1}{2})\Big] \\
= \;& \delta_{g_1}(1)\varrho_{h_1h_2\cdots h_m}(\tfrac{3}{2})\delta_{h_m^{-1}h_{m-1}^{-1}\cdots h_1^{-1}g_2}(2)\delta_{h_m^{-1}h_{m-1}^{-1}\cdots h_1^{-1}g_3}(3)\cdots\delta_{h_m^{-1}h_{m-1}^{-1}\cdots h_1^{-1}g_m}(m) \\
& \varrho_{h_1}(\tfrac{1}{2})\varrho_{h_1^{-1}h_m^{-1}h_{m-1}^{-1}\cdots h_4^{-1}h_3^{-1}}(\tfrac{3}{2})\varrho_{h_3}(\tfrac{5}{2})\cdots\varrho_{h_m}(m-\tfrac{1}{2}) \\
= \;& \delta_{g_1}(1)\delta_{g_2}(2)\cdots\delta_{g_m}(m)\varrho_{h_1}(\tfrac{1}{2})\varrho_{h_1^{-1}h_1h_2\cdots h_mh_1}(\tfrac{3}{2})\varrho_{h_1^{-1}h_m^{-1}h_{m-1}^{-1}\cdots h_4^{-1}h_3^{-1}}(\tfrac{3}{2})\varrho_{h_3}(\tfrac{5}{2})\cdots\varrho_{h_m}(m-\tfrac{1}{2}) \\
= \;& \delta_{g_1}(1)\delta_{g_2}(2)\cdots\delta_{g_m}(m)\varrho_{h_1}(\tfrac{1}{2})\varrho_{h_2}(\tfrac{3}{2})\varrho_{h_3}(\tfrac{5}{2})\cdots\varrho_{h_m}(m-\tfrac{1}{2})
\end{aligned}$$

which yields that for any $a \in \mathcal{F}_{_H}(\Lambda_{\frac{1}{2},m})$,

$$\sum_{x\in G}\sum_{y\in H} u_{x,y} z_{_H}(u^*_{x,y}a) = a.$$

Similarly, one can verify

$$\sum_{x\in G}\sum_{y\in H} z_{_H}(au_{x,y})u^*_{x,y} = a, \quad \forall a \in \mathcal{F}_{_H}(\Lambda_{\frac{1}{2},m}).$$

By induction, we can show that $\{(u_{x,y}, u_{x,y}^*) : x \in G, y \in H\}$ is a quasi-basis of $z_{_H} : \mathcal{F}_{_H}(\Lambda_{n-\frac{1}{2},m}) \to \mathcal{A}_{_H}(\Lambda_{n,m-\frac{1}{2}})$, for any $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $n < m$.

Since $z_{_H}$ is a projection of norm one, $z_{_H}$ can therefore be extended to the map of $\overline{\bigcup\limits_{n<m} \mathcal{F}_{_H}(\Lambda_{n-\frac{1}{2},m})}$ onto $\overline{\bigcup\limits_{n<m} \mathcal{A}_{_H}(\Lambda_{n,m-\frac{1}{2}})}$ by continuity, and then $\{(u_{x,y}, u_{x,y}^*) : x \in G, y \in H\}$ is a quasi-basis of $z_{_H} : \overline{\bigcup\limits_{n<m} \mathcal{F}_{_H}(\Lambda_{n-\frac{1}{2},m})} \to \overline{\bigcup\limits_{n<m} \mathcal{A}_{_H}(\Lambda_{n,m-\frac{1}{2}})}$.

Finally, the uniqueness of the $C^*$-inductive limit ([14]) implies that $\mathcal{F}_{_H} = \overline{\bigcup\limits_{n<m} \mathcal{F}_{_H}(\Lambda_{n-\frac{1}{2},m})}$ and $\mathcal{A}_{_{(H,G)}} = \overline{\bigcup\limits_{n<m} \mathcal{A}_{_H}(\Lambda_{n,m-\frac{1}{2}})}$. As a result, $\{(u_{x,y}, u_{x,y}^*) : x \in G, y \in H\}$ is a quasi-basis of $z_{_H} : \mathcal{F}_{_H} \to \mathcal{A}_{_{(H,G)}}$. $\square$

From Theorem 3.1, we know $z_{_H}$ is a conditional expectation of index-finite type, which can guarantee that $z_{_H}$ is non-degenerate.

**Remark 3.2.** (1) For $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $k \leq l$, $x \in G, y \in H$, set

$$w_{x,y} = \sqrt{|G|}\delta_x(k)\rho_y(l + \frac{1}{2}).$$

One can verify that $\{(w_{x,y}, w_{x,y}^*) : x \in G, y \in H\}$ is a quasi-basis of $z_{_H} : \mathcal{F}_{_H} \to \mathcal{A}_{_{(H,G)}}$.

(2) Let $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $k > l$, $x \in G, y \in H$, put

$$v_{x,y} = \sqrt{|G|}\delta_x(k)\rho_y(l + \frac{1}{2}),$$

then $\{(v_{x,y}, v_{x,y}^*) : x \in G, y \in H\}$ is not a quasi-basis of $z_{_H} : \mathcal{F}_{_H} \to \mathcal{A}_{_{(H,G)}}$. In fact, one can show that $\{(\nu_{x,y}, \nu_{x,y}^*) : x \in G, y \in H\}$ is not a quasi-basis of $z_{_H} : \mathcal{F}_{_H}(\Lambda_{\frac{1}{2},2}) \to \mathcal{A}_{_H}(\Lambda_{1,\frac{3}{2}})$, where $\nu_{x,y} = \sqrt{|G|}\delta_x(1)\rho_y(\frac{1}{2})$.

Notice that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{x\in G}\sum_{y\in H} \nu_{x,y} z_{_H}\left[\nu_{x,y}^*\delta_{g_1}(1)\delta_{g_2}(2)\varrho_{h_1}(\tfrac{1}{2})\varrho_{h_2}(\tfrac{3}{2})\right] \\
= \;& |G| \sum_{x\in G}\sum_{y\in H} \nu_{x,y} z_{_H}\left[\delta_x(1)\varrho_{y^{-1}}(\tfrac{1}{2})\delta_{g_1}(1)\delta_{g_2}(2)\varrho_{h_1}(\tfrac{1}{2})\varrho_{h_2}(\tfrac{3}{2})\right] \\
= \;& |G| \sum_{x\in G}\sum_{y\in H} \nu_{x,y} z_{_H}\left[\delta_x(1)\delta_{y^{-1}g_1}(1)\delta_{y^{-1}g_2}(2)\varrho_{y^{-1}}(\tfrac{1}{2})\varrho_{h_1}(\tfrac{1}{2})\varrho_{h_2}(\tfrac{3}{2})\right] \\
= \;& |G| \sum_{x\in G}\sum_{y\in H} \nu_{x,y} z_{_H}\left[\delta_x(1)\delta_{y^{-1}g_1}(1)\delta_{y^{-1}g_2}(2)\varrho_{y^{-1}h_1}(\tfrac{1}{2})\varrho_{h_2}(\tfrac{3}{2})\right] \\
= \;& |G|\delta_{h_2^{-1}h_1^{-1}g_1}(1)\varrho_{h_1h_2}(\tfrac{1}{2}) z_{_H}\left[\delta_{h_2^{-1}h_1^{-1}g_1}(1)\delta_{h_2^{-1}h_1^{-1}g_2}(2)\varrho_{h_2^{-1}}(\tfrac{1}{2})\varrho_{h_2}(\tfrac{3}{2})\right] \\
= \;& \delta_{h_2^{-1}h_1^{-1}g_1}(1)\varrho_{h_1h_2}(\tfrac{1}{2})\Big[\sum_{s\in G}\delta_s(1)\delta_{sg_1^{-1}g_2}(2)\varrho_{sg_1^{-1}h_1h_2^{-1}h_1^{-1}g_1s^{-1}}(\tfrac{1}{2})\varrho_{sg_1^{-1}h_1h_2h_1^{-1}g_1s^{-1}}(\tfrac{3}{2})\Big] \\
= \;& \sum_{s\in G}\delta_{h_2^{-1}h_1^{-1}g_1}(1)\delta_{h_1h_2s}(1)\delta_{h_1h_2sg_1^{-1}g_2}(2)\varrho_{h_1h_2sg_1^{-1}h_1h_2^{-1}h_1^{-1}g_1s^{-1}}(\tfrac{1}{2})\varrho_{sg_1^{-1}h_1h_2h_1^{-1}g_1s^{-1}}(\tfrac{3}{2}) \\
= \;& \delta_{h_2^{-1}h_1^{-1}g_1}(1)\delta_{h_2^{-1}h_1^{-1}g_2}(2)\varrho_{h_2^{-1}h_1h_2}(\tfrac{1}{2})\varrho_{h_2^{-1}h_1^{-1}h_2h_1h_2}(\tfrac{3}{2}),
\end{aligned}$$

which can implies that for some $a \in \mathcal{F}_{_H}(\Lambda_{\frac{1}{2},2})$, we have

$$\sum_{x\in G}\sum_{y\in H}\nu_{x,y}z_{_H}(\nu_{x,y}^{*}a)\neq a.$$

**Theorem 3.2.** The $C^{*}$-index of $z_{_H}:\mathcal{F}_{_H}\to\mathcal{A}_{_{(H,G)}}$ is $|G||H|I$.

*Proof.* Since Index $z_{_H}$ does not depend on the choice of quasi-basis, then

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Index } z_{_H} &= \sum_{x\in G}\sum_{y\in H}u_{x,y}u_{x,y}^{*}\\ &= |G|\sum_{x\in G}\sum_{y\in H}\delta_x(1)\rho_y(\tfrac{3}{2})\delta_x(1)\rho_y^{-1}(\tfrac{3}{2})\\ &= |G|\sum_{x\in G}\sum_{y\in H}\delta_x(1)\delta_x(1)\rho_y(\tfrac{3}{2})\rho_y^{-1}(\tfrac{3}{2})\\ &= |G|\sum_{x\in G}\sum_{y\in H}\delta_x(1)\rho_e(\tfrac{3}{2})\\ &= |G||H|I.\end{aligned}$$

□

**Remark 3.3.** In particular, if $H = G$, then $z_{_G} = \frac{1}{|G|}\sum_{g\in G}(e,g) : \mathcal{F}\to\mathcal{A}_{_{(G,G)}}$ is a conditional expectation of index-finite type, and Index $z_{_G} = |G|^2 I$.

# References

[1] Doplicher, S., Roberts, J. Fields, statistics and non-abelian gauge group. Comm. Math. Phys., 28: 331-348 (1972)

[2] Jones, V.F.R. Subfactors and Knots, CBMS, No. 80, American Mathematical Society Providence, Rhode Island, 1991.

[3] Szlachányi, K., Vecsernyes, P. Quantum symmetry and braided group statistics in $G$-spin models. Comm. Math. Phys., 156: 127-168 (1993)

[4] Dancer, K.A., Isaac, P.S., Links, J. Representations of the quantum doubles of finite group algebras and spectral parameter dependent solutions of the Yang-Baxter equations. J. Math. Phys., 47: 103511 (2006)

[5] Mason, G. The quantum double of a finite group and its role in conformal field theory, London Mathematical Society Lecture Notes, 212, 405-417, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1995.

[6] Bántay, P. Orbifolds and Hopf algebras. Phys. Lett. B., 245: 477-479 (1990)

[7] Kassel, C. Quantum groups, Springer, New York, 1995, GTM 155.

[8] Nill, F., Szlachányi, K. Quantum chains of Hopf algebras with quantum double cosymmetry. Comm. Math. Phys., 187: 159-200 (1997)

[9] Jiang, L.N. $C^*$-index of observable algebras in $G$-spin models. Science in China. Ser.A Mathematics, 48: 57-66 (2005)

[10] Xin, Q.L., Jiang, L.N. Symmetric structure of field algebra of $G$-spin models determined by a normal subgroup. J. Math. Phys., 55: 091703 (2014)

[11] Sweedler, M.E. Hopf algebras, W.A. Benjamin, New York, 1969.

[12] Abe, E. Hopf Algebras, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, No. 74, Cambridge Univ. Press, New York, 1980

[13] Jiang, L.N. Towards a quantum Galois theory for quantum double algebras of finite groups. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 138: 2793-2801 (2010)

[14] Li, B.R. Operator Algebras, Scientific Press, Beijing, 1998 (in Chinese).

[15] Watatani, Y. Index for $C^*$-subalgebras, Mem. Am. Math. Soc. No. 424, 1990.

[16] Pimsner, M., Popa, S. Entropy and index for subfactors, Ann. Sci. Ecole. Norm. Sup., 19: 57-106 (1986)

[17] Kosaki, H. Extension of Jones' theory on index to arbitrary factors. J. Func. Anal., 66: 123-140 (1986)